

<h1>TNBC</h1> <p>The Natomas Basin Conservancy</p> <p>Web site: www.natomasbasin.org</p>	<p>Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors and Implementation Annual Meeting</p>	<p>Upcoming Regular Meetings</p>	 <p>August 2, 2006</p>
	<p>4:00 p.m.</p> <p>2150 River Plaza Drive, #460 Sacramento, CA (meeting in Suite 400)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • September 6 • October 4 • November 1 	

#	Section	Item	Type	Pg.	Discussion
1	Call to Order	Call to Order of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors	Admin.	∅	○ The Board President will call the meeting to order if a quorum is present. Announcements by the Board President or Executive Director may be made at this time.
2	Action	Implementation Annual Meeting	Action	①	<p>⊕ IMPLEMENTATION ANNUAL MEETING (Implementation Agreement §5.4)</p> <p>A. Discussion of Annual Report. Concerns, comments, recommendations.</p> <p>B. City of Sacramento Issues.</p> <p>C. California Department of Fish and Game Issues.</p> <p>D. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service issues.</p> <p>E. Adjourn Implementation Annual Meeting.</p>
3	Action	Approval of the Minutes	Consent	2.1	⊕ The Board President will request approval of the minutes of the Board meeting of June 7, 2006.
4	Action	Well pump acquisition adjustment	Consent	2.8	⊕ With resolution #11.2.05, the Board authorized \$14,300.00 for a well pump on the Silva tract preserve. This well waters the westerly portion of the Silva tract managed marsh. The prior well had collapsed and the pump was believed to be re-usable. After pulling the pump, it was determined that it was unsalvageable. A new well pump is proposed. Two estimates were submitted, Davis Machine and Lane Christianson. Lane Christianson proposed \$25,792, which is lower than the Davis Machine proposal (\$26,200) and thus is recommended for Board approval.
5	Action	Construction management contract for the Bennett North managed marsh expansion construction project	Consent	2.10	○ The Conservancy has been working to expand the percentage of its properties to include a greater proportion of managed marsh. The newest project in the pipeline is the 65-acre expansion of the Bennett North managed marsh. In the past, the Conservancy has engaged the services of Wildlands, Inc. to manage the construction efforts in order to insure that the selected contractor complies with engineering and landscape architect plans. Given the nature of this work being

#	Section	Item	Type	Pg.	Discussion
					specific to the NBHCP-requirements for construction of managed marsh as well as compliance with the site-specific management plans, Wildlands, Inc. is recommended as the preferred consultant to manage this process. Wildlands has submitted a proposal in the amount of \$30,188.00.
6	Action	Approve contract for construction drawings and bid package for the Bennett North managed marsh expansion construction project	Consent	2.19	<p>⊕ The Bennett North managed marsh expansion construction project requires that construction drawings and a bid package and related services also be acquired. This item requests approval of a proposal from Wildlands, Inc. in the amount of \$29,888.00 for these services.</p> <p>Among other tasks, the proposal also calls for the selected construction manager to prepare official construction drawings, hold a pre-bid conference with prospective bidders, issue bid solicitations, analyze the submitted bids for Conservancy Board members' consideration.</p>
7	Action	Approve contract for SSMP preparation on the Vestal South tract	Consent	2.30	<p>⊕ The Conservancy acquired the Vestal South tract most recently and now needs to develop a Site-Specific Management Plan (SSMP) for it in accordance with the NBHCP. The process for preparing SSMPs for each newly-acquired parcel has been revised to the point that they become "chapters" which reflect each property's SSMP. This proposal would see a Vestal South tract chapter added to the Conservancy's SSMP binder.</p> <p>It is anticipated that the Conservancy will propose that the entire tract remain in rice production.</p> <p>Wildlands, Inc. has prepared a revised proposal at the Conservancy's request to conduct the development of an SSMP for the Vestal South tract. The proposed cost is \$10,594.00.</p>
8	Action	Emergency channel clearing on Natomas Farms	Consent	2.41	<p>⊕ The Conservancy needed to conduct some channel clearing maintenance activity on the Natomas Farms managed marsh area, and do so in a timely manner to minimize "down time" for giant garter snake use. The total cost was \$4,400.00 which is well within the Board-approved Executive Director spending limit. However, an additional \$1,925.00 was subsequently spent on site clean up and separately billed. In order to avoid any concern about possible spending limit overspending, the Board is asked to approve these expenditures.</p>
9	Action	Sacramento County Airport Systems mitigation project Finance Model recalculation	Action	2.43	<p>⊕ Sacramento County Airport Systems (SCAS) has requested the Conservancy provide a mitigation cost amount for its Jacob's Slough Mitigation Project. Economic & Planning Systems (EPS) has provided a contract to conduct this work at a cost not to exceed</p>

 ⊕ = More information in supplemental handouts.
 ∅ = No additional exhibits in Agenda Packet.

⊕ = Staff recommends approval.
 ○ = Staff recommendation not needed or indicated.
 ● = Staff does not recommend approval.

#	Section	Item	Type	Pg.	Discussion
					\$15,000.00. SCAS has assured the Conservancy in writing that it would reimburse the Conservancy for such expenses. The EPS contract would calculate the amount of money the Conservancy would need to conduct this supplemental mitigation on behalf of the SCAS. The Board is asked to authorize the Executive Director to execute and deliver the EPS contract as proposed.
10	Action	New well and pump installation on Bennett North	Action	2.52	⊕ In order to support the Bennett North managed marsh restoration and enhancement expansion project, a new well is needed. The Conservancy has sought bids and one firm, Davis Machine Shop, Inc., has submitted a bid of \$76,322.00 for a 1,500 gallons per minute well and \$80,301.00 for a 2,000 gallons per minute well. Conservancy staff would like to discuss the matter with the Board in order to evaluate options for this well. If the Board agrees to proceed, staff would seek additional quotes to confirm reasonable pricing. Requested is an authorization to spend up to \$80,301.00 to secure a well and pump for the Bennett North tract, but only after at least one additional quote is received. The lowest and best quote will be accepted.
11	Action	Investment Advisor	Action	2.54	⊕ After review of the 2005 endowment fund performance, the Board requested staff initiate a process leading to the presentation of investment advisor alternatives. Staff first conducted a telephone canvass of selected other foundations in the area as well as disinterested parties knowledgeable about such matters. The second step was identification of investment advisors most often recommended by such knowledgeable parties. The names of two firms surfaced most frequently. Contact was made with the two firms' representatives. Both were invited to do introductory interviews at the Conservancy's office. Staff then invited one of the two firms, Arnerich & Massena, to meet with the Board of Directors at the last Board meeting. A contract that would engage Arnerich & Massena as the Conservancy' Endowment Fund investment advisor is presented to the Board for action. Staff recommends that the Executive Director be authorized to execute and deliver an investment advisor agreement with Arnerich & Massena such that the firm would become the Conservancy's new investment advisor. The authorization includes payment of Arnerich & Massena according to the proposed contract presented with this agenda packet. The effective date would be as soon as staff could effectuate the change from the Conservancy's present advisor.
12	Discussion	City of Sacramento	No action	∅	○ Report from the City of Sacramento regarding HCP-related activity and other

 ⊕ = More information in supplemental handouts.
 ∅ = No additional exhibits in Agenda Packet.

⊕ = Staff recommends approval.
 ○ = Staff recommendation not needed or indicated.
 ● = Staff does not recommend approval.

#	Section	Item	Type	Pg.	Discussion
		report			topics.
13	Discussion	Financial statement review	No action	2.62	○ A financial statement update will be provided period ended June 30, 2006.
14	Public Comments	Public Comments	No action	∅	○ Opportunity for members of the public to address the Conservancy's Board of Directors.
15	Executive Session	Executive Session – Real Estate	Action	Ⓢ	○ Executive Session for real estate.
16	Executive Session	Executive Session – Litigation	Action	Ⓢ	○ Executive Session pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9 for the purpose of meeting with legal counsel regarding litigation on two matters.
17	Executive Director's Report	Executive Director's Report	No action	Ⓢ	○ Various matters for Board members' general information.
18	Adjournment	Adjournment	Admin.	∅	○ Official adjournment of the meeting.

 Ⓢ = More information in supplemental handouts.
 ∅ = No additional exhibits in Agenda Packet.

⊕ = Staff recommends approval.
 ○ = Staff recommendation not needed or indicated.
 ● = Staff does not recommend approval.