

<h1>TNBC</h1> <p>The Natomas Basin Conservancy</p> <p>Web site: www.natomasbasin.org</p>	<h2>Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors</h2>	<h2>Upcoming Regular Meetings</h2>	 <p>September 6, 2006</p>
	<p>4:00 p.m.</p> <p>2150 River Plaza Drive, #460 Sacramento, CA (meeting in Suite 400)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • October 4 • November 1 • December 6 	

#	Section	Item	Type	Pg.	Discussion
1	Call to Order	Call to Order of the Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors	Admin.	Ø	○ The Board President will call the meeting to order if a quorum is present. Announcements by the Board President or Executive Director may be made at this time.
2	Action	Approval of the Minutes	Consent	2.1	⊕ The Board President will request approval of the minutes of the Board meeting of August 2, 2006.
3	Action	2007 Finance Model recalculation contract proposal	Consent	2.8	⊕ The NBHCP requires that the NBHCP Finance Model be recalculated each year by February. Given lead times needed for the City's adoption of fee adjustments, the Conservancy needs to initiate work on the Finance Model recalculation earlier than before. In addition, this year, the Conservancy will attempt to integrate the 2007 budget with the Finance Model recalculation. Staff recommends the Executive Director be authorized to execute and deliver an agreement between the Conservancy and Economic and Planning Systems for the completion of this work.
4	Action	Emergency repair of residence at 9501 East Levee Road	Consent	2.15	⊕ After the former tenant at the Conservancy's "Silva residence" vacated recently, Conservancy staff found mold on the interior of the house sufficient to cause the need for immediate action. A contractor was employed to conduct the abatement work needed, and then the repairs, including removal and replacement of sheet rock and affected material, repainting, etc. were completed. When contractor was on site, substantial damage was also found from rats eating through the walls of the house. With the contractor on site, and in order to obtain the economic efficiencies of using the on-site contractor to make these and related repairs, additional work was authorized. The total cost was \$10,407.00. Since the expenditures for this work exceed staff spending allocation, Board ratification of the expenditures is requested.
5	Action	Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Natomas Central	Action	2.19	⊕ After over two years of work, the Conservancy now has in place a concept from which to explore groundwater agreements with the NCMWC. A MOU between the

#	Section	Item	Type	Pg.	Discussion
		Mutual Water Company (NCMWC)			NCMWC and the Conservancy is now proposed in order to take the next steps. The MOU was drafted by NCMWC, and it has been approved by its Board of Directors. Staff recommends approval.
6	Action	Natomas Central Mutual Water Company (NCMWC) proposal to conduct groundwater substitution program using Conservancy groundwater production resources	Action	2.26	⊕ The Conservancy received a proposal from the NCMWC for the production of up to 2,500 acre feet of water during the months of September, October and November. This appears to be an excellent experiment to determine of future such agreements would be in the Conservancy's interests as expected. Staff recommends acceptance of this proposal provided the point of delivery is at the outfall of managed marsh preserves, that complete and full costs are recovered, and the Conservancy has the right to suspend or reduce production should aquifer depletion become an issue. Also, acceptance of the eventual agreement would contingent upon legal counsel approval as to form.
7	Action	Approve expenditure for Lucich North channel clearing	Action	∅	⊕ The Lucich North managed marsh is urgently in need of channel clearing in order to function according to the SSMP for the site. Wildlands, Inc has initiated work on the westerly cell of the three-cell marsh complex, but in negotiations with Conservancy staff, could not be convinced to complete the work sufficient to satisfy Conservancy staff's request. Given the lateness of the season, staff requests \$25,000.00 standby budget allocation so that staff may engage other contractors to complete the work to what Conservancy staff believes is up to SSMP standards. Staff will endeavor not to spend all of the allocation, but may need all of it depending on the state of the marsh complex after Wildlands leaves the site.
8	Action	SSMP for Bolen North and South tracts	Action	2.30	⊕ The Bolen North and Bolen South Site-Specific Management Plan is submitted for conditional approval. The north parcel is planned to be included in the 50 percent rice allocation, while the westerly portion of the south parcel is to be converted from rice to upland (alfalfa and other Swainson's hawk-friendly cover crops) while the easterly portion of the south parcel will be temporarily in upland crops with the potential to convert to managed marsh in the future. Staff recommends acceptance conditioned upon approval from the NBHC TAC or CDFG and USFWS.
9	Action	SSMP for Rosa East and Central tracts	Action	2.39	⊕ Rosa East and Central tracts Site-Specific Management Plan is submitted for conditional approval. The parcels are planned to be converted from rice to upland for Swainson's hawk-friendly crops. This recommendation was decided upon after extensive soil testing and geotechnical analysis, and consideration of other factors (e.g., the property is almost

 ⊕ = More information in supplemental handouts.
 ∅ = No additional exhibits in Agenda Packet.

⊕ = Staff recommends approval.
 ○ = Staff recommendation not needed or indicated.
 ● = Staff does not recommend approval.

#	Section	Item	Type	Pg.	Discussion
					entirely within the Swainson Hawk Zone). Staff believes a very occasional conversion to rice (e.g., perhaps one year in 10 or 15) will help with diversity and sustainability issues. However, this is not built into this SSMP and if employed, will be preceded by an amended SSMP. Staff recommends acceptance conditioned upon approval from the NBHC TAC or CDFG and USFWS.
10	Action	SSMP for Vestal South tract	Action	2.48	⊕ The Vestal South Site-Specific Management Plan is submitted for conditional approval. The parcel is proposed for inclusion in the 50 percent rice allocation, since it has long been committed to rice given its underlying soil structure and the fact that its tailwater feeds an important giant garter snake habitat (North Drainage Canal). Staff recommends acceptance conditioned upon approval from the NBHC TAC or CDFG and USFWS.
11	Action	Request for minor amendment to 2003 NBHCP	Action	2.54	<p>● The 2003 NBHCP requires that if a proposal to amend the NBHCP is submitted, the Conservancy must circulate the proposal to all Parties to the NBHCP. A proposal for a Minor Amendment has been received that proposing to amend the NBHCP such that approximately 155 acres of land west of Westlake and north of Del Paso (the "Padilla tract") would be included in the NBHCP's "Permit Area." As provided for in the NBHCP, staff circulated the request for comment beginning August 7, 2006 for a 60-day period.</p> <p>The Conservancy, as an official "Party" to the 2003 NBHCP, is asked for its position on this proposal as well. However, since the matter is primarily an issue for the City of Sacramento to decide, staff does not recommend any action at this stage. Should the City and the other Parties to the 2003 NBHCP approve the request for the Minor Amendment, the Conservancy's Board will again be asked for its position on the matter.</p>
12	Discussion	City of Sacramento report	No action	∅	○ Report from the City of Sacramento regarding HCP-related activity and other topics.
13	Discussion	Financial statement review	No action	2.74	○ A financial statement update will be provided period ended July 31, 2006.
14	Public Comments	Public Comments	No action	∅	○ Opportunity for members of the public to address the Conservancy's Board of Directors.
15	Executive Session	Executive Session – Real Estate	Action	①	○ Executive Session for real estate.
16	Executive Session	Executive Session – Litigation	Action	①	○ Executive Session pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9 for the purpose of meeting with legal counsel regarding litigation on two matters.

 ① = More information in supplemental handouts.
 ∅ = No additional exhibits in Agenda Packet.

⊕ = Staff recommends approval.
 ○ = Staff recommendation not needed or indicated.
 ● = Staff does not recommend approval.

#	Section	Item	Type	Pg.	Discussion
17	Executive Director's Report	Executive Director's Report	No action	①	○ Various matters for Board members' general information.
18	Adjournment	Adjournment	Admin.	∅	○ Official adjournment of the meeting.

 ① = More information in supplemental handouts.
 ∅ = No additional exhibits in Agenda Packet.

⊕ = Staff recommends approval.
 ○ = Staff recommendation not needed or indicated.
 ● = Staff does not recommend approval.