Biological Effectiveness Monitoring Recipient Response Matrix

2017 The Natomas Basin Conservancy

	Current Contract: ICF International 2016 Costs	ICF International	HT Harvey and Associates	CH2MHill	Foothill Associates	E-Corp. Consulting	AECOM	Atkins	Cardno	LSA Associates Inc.	Madrone Ecological Consulting	Westervelt Ecological Services	GEI Consultants Inc.	Habitat Restoration Sciences Inc.
mailed an RFP?		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
date and time of submission		09/20/17 3:00pm	09/20/17 3:24pm	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	Declined 08/23/17	None	None
original recipient or addition (date)		Original	n/a	Original	Original	Original	Original	Original	Original	Original	Original	Original	Original	Original
staff or BOD referral?		Staff	Website	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff
Cover letter?														
was contact info for lead org. conducting work inlcuded?		Y	Y											
is the name of senior-most individual responsible included?		Y	Y											
is the amount of time senior-most individual assigned (%/$/narrative)?		Y	Y											
is the RFP signed by senior-most official?		Y	Y											
Project Understanding and Approach?														
does the response state respondent has an understanding of the project?		Y	Y											
does the respondent include background of the firm?		Y	Y											
is the firms general relavance on subject matter stated?		Y	Y											
does the respondent outline their approach to implementation?		Y	Y											
Statement of qualifications?														
are the qualifications of respondent clearly stated?		Y	Y											
Does respondent present that it has completed related projects or assignments?		Y	Y											
Cost of work?														
was a cost proposal for conducting work included?		Y	Y											
is the cost quoted on a not to exceed basis?		Y	Y											
What is the respondents proposed annual cost?	$298,196.00	*see summary page 2	*see summary page 2											
did respondent provide a formula for calculating additional acres?		Y	Y											
did respondent include ways to reduce costs?		Y	Y											
is the proposed billing method inlcuded?		Y	Y											
Subjective factors														
does respondent mention use of subcontractors?		Y	Y											
if so, number of subcontractors proposed?		2	4											
does respondent name GGS & SH subcontractors?		Y	Y											
If so whom?		*see summary page 2	*see summary page 2											
Critical factors														
Can the Conservancy expect that the completed work will satisfy relavant requirements?		Y	Y											
does the respondent state that they have the ability to assemble a team?		Y	Y											

Biological Effectiveness Monitoring Recipient Response Matrix
2017 The Natomas Basin Conservancy

	Current Contract: ICF International 2016 Costs	ICF International	HT Harvey and Associates	CH2MHill	Foothill Associates	E-Corp. Consulting	AECOM	Atkins	Cardno	LSA Associates Inc.	Madrone Ecological Consulting	Westervelt Ecological Services	GEI Consultants Inc.	Habitat Restoration Sciences Inc.
was respondent responsive to all items in RFP?		Y	Y											
does respondent state that they have knowledge of NBHCP Covered Species?		Y	Y											
Desirable factors														
does the respondent provide evidence of the ability to prepare consise written reports?		Y	Y											
does the respondent state that they have the ability to work with allied partners?		Y	Y											
does respondent state they have a track record of completing projects on time and budget?		Y (*other non-TNBC projects)	Y											
Is the respondents proposal a reasonable cost to complete necessary work?		Y	Y											